

Applicant further submits that Haynes, Harlow and/or Harenbrock alone nor any combination thereof teaches or suggests make obvious the invention recited in Claim 19 because, for the reasons cited above, Haynes and Harlow fail to disclose a precision reference marking system. Rather Haynes and Harlow merely teach a conformal coating system.

Therefore, the applicant respectfully requests that the rejection to claim 19 under 35 USC § 103(a) be withdrawn and that this claim be allowed.

#### REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the time taken by the Examiner to review Applicant's present application. This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Official Action mailed February 10, 2005. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and favorable action in this case.

#### CONCLUSION

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully requests full allowance of Claims 1-23.

While Applicants believe no fees are due, if any fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-2240 of Koestner Bertani, LLP.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments, or if further clarification is required, it is requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below. Please reference Attorney Docket No. 1017.P093USC1.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Robert A. McLauchlan  
Reg. No. 44,924

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

Dated: June 10, 2005

Koestner Bertani, LLP  
4201 W. Parmer Lane  
Suite A-100  
Austin, Texas 78727